Performance Evaluation Xilinx U30

Jan Ozer November 2020

Overview

	Xilinx U30	NVIDIA T4	x265 Medium	x265 Veryfast
Start-Up Latency	1	4	2	3
VMAF quality rank	2	4	1	3
PSNR quality rank	3	4	1	2
Subjective quality	2	4	1	3
Quality consistency	2	4	1	4
Overall	2	4	1	3

- Overall, U30 ranked second (score is an average of all tests with no weighting)
- The U30 quality rankings were relatively consistent and the U30 ranked ahead of NVIDIA and Veryfast (except for PSNR with Very fast)
- The U30's quality consistency was high which promotes QoE

- Though not reflected in the chart above, we couldn't produce a single encoding ladder using x265 Medium or Slow on our 96-core AWS C5.metal test bed
- While x265 Medium ranked first overall, it may be challenging to find an encoding station that can affordably produce a full encoding ladder using this codec/preset

Agenda

- Codecs tested: Xilinx U30, NVIDIA T4, x265 Veryfast, x265 Medium
- Clips: Crowdrun, Football, GTAV, Meridian
- Metrics: VMAF, PSNR, RD-Curves, BD-Rate Stats
- Subjective: GBT Tech
- Data rate consistency

Xilinx U30

- Test bed
- Script/capacity
- Command strings
 - Objective
 - Subjective

U30 Test Bed/ Script

```
🔚 u30_hevc_jan_ozer_perf2.sh 🗵
      #!/bin/bash
 2 ∃if [ $# -ne 2 ]
        then
  4
          echo "Incorrect arguments supplied"
          echo "Usage: ./"$0" <1080p60 input> <br 1080p>"
 5
  6
          exit 1
      -fi
      filename=$ (basename -- "$1")
      filename="${filename%.*}"
      out path=/scratch/output
    #/proj/ipeng/staff/umam/nobkup/00 benchmark/32 jan ozer/u30 hevc perf final
     L#
 14
 16
      mkdir -p $out path
 17
      ffmpeg -f rawvideo -s 1920x1080 -r 60 -i $1 \
 19
     -filter complex "[0:v] split[a][b]; [b] framerate=fps=30[c]; [c] multiscale xma=outputs=3:\
     out 1 width=1280:out 1 height=720:out 1 pix fmt=vcu nv12:\
     out 2 width=960:out 2 height=540:out 2 pix fmt=vcu nv12:\
     out 3 width=640:out 3 height=360:out 3 pix fmt=vcu nv12 [d][e][f];[a]split[aa][ab]"
     -map '[aa]' -c:v mpsoc vcu hevc -b:v 6M -max-bitrate 6M -slice-qp -1 -q 120 -qp-mode auto
     -bf 2 -lookahead depth 20 -f mp4 -y sout path/football U30 1080p 6MB.mp4
 24
      -map '[ab]' -c:v mpsoc vcu hevc -r 30 -b:v 4M -max-bitrate 4M -slice-qp -1 -q 60 -qp-mode auto
     -bf 2 -lookahead depth 20 -f mp4 -y sout path/football U30 1080p 4MB.mp4
     -map '[d]' -c:v mpsoc vcu hevc -r 30 -b:v 2.5M -max-bitrate 2.5M -slice-qp -1 -q 60
     -qp-mode auto -bf 2 -lookahead depth 20 -f mp4 -y <code>$out path/</mark>football U30 720p 2 5MB.mp4 \</code>
     -map '[e]' -c:v mpsoc vcu hevc -r 30 -b:v 1.2M -max-bitrate 1.2M -slice-qp -1 -q 60
      -qp-mode auto -bf 2 -lookahead depth 20 -f mp4 -y sout path/football U30 540p 1 2MB.mp4
      -map '[f]' -c:v mpsoc vcu hevc -r 30 -b:v 0.8M -max-bitrate .8M -slice-qp -1 -q 60
      -qp-mode auto -bf 2 -lookahead depth 20 -f mp4 -y sout path/football U30 360p 800K.mp4 >
      perf output.txt 2>&1
```

• Achieved 1 full ladder on Xilinx internal test machine

U30 Start-up Latency

Preset	Latency
U30	.6 (sec)

- Recorded screen with Camtasia
- Pasted in command string
- Computed time from paste until first frame appeared
- Ran three tests and averaged time

U30 Command Strings

Objective

ffmpeg -f rawvideo -s 1920x1080 -r 60 -re -i Football_1080p.yuv -vsync 0
-an -b:v 3M -max-bitrate 3M -c:v mpsoc_vcu_hevc -slice-qp -1 -g 120
-qp-mode uniform -bf 2 -lookahead_depth 20 -spatial-aq 0 -temporal-aq 0
-rate-control-mode 0 -f mp4 -y Football U30 tune 3MB.mp4

• Subjective

ffmpeg -f rawvideo -s 1920x1080 -r 60 -i Football_1080p.yuv -vsync 0
-an -b:v 3M -max-bitrate 3M -c:v mpsoc_vcu_hevc -slice-qp -1 -g 120
-qp-mode auto_bf 2 -lookahead_depth 20 -spatial-aq 0 -temporal-aq 0
-rate-control-mode 0 -f mp4 -y football_U30_viz_3MB.mp4

NVIDIA T4

- Test bed
- Script/capacity
- Command strings
 - Objective
 - Subjective

T4 Performance Test Script

ffmpeg -re -y -hwaccel cuvid -c:v h264_cuvid -i Football_1080p.mp4 \

-c:v hevc_nvenc -preset fast -qmin 0 -bf 2 -spatial_aq 1 -rc-lookahead 20 i_qfactor 0.75 -b_qfactor 1.1 -g 120 -keyint_min 120 -sc_threshold 0 -b:v 6M bufsize 6M -maxrate 12M out1/Football_NVEnc_1920_6.mp4 \

-c:v hevc_nvenc -preset fast -qmin 0 -bf 2 -spatial_aq 1 -rc-lookahead 20 i_qfactor 0.75 -b_qfactor 1.1 -r 30 -g 60 -keyint_min 60 -sc_threshold 0 -b:v 4M -bufsize 4M -maxrate 8M out1/Football_NVEnc_1920_4.mp4 \

-c:v hevc_nvenc -vf scale_npp=1280:720 -preset fast -qmin 0 -bf 2 -spatial_aq 1 -rc-lookahead 20 -i_qfactor 0.75 -b_qfactor 1.1 -r 30 -g 60 -keyint_min 60 sc_threshold 0 -b:v 2.5M -bufsize 2.5M -maxrate 5M out1/Football_NVEnc_720_2_5.mp4 \

-c:v hevc_nvenc -vf scale_npp=960:540 -preset fast -qmin 0 -bf 2 -spatial_aq 1 rc-lookahead 20 -i_qfactor 0.75 -b_qfactor 1.1 -r 30 -g 60 -keyint_min 60 sc_threshold 0 -b:v 1.2M -bufsize 1.2M -maxrate 2.4M out1/Football_NVEnc_540_1_2.mp4 \

-c:v hevc_nvenc -vf scale_npp=640:360 -preset fast -qmin 0 -bf 2 -spatial_aq 1 rc-lookahead 20 -i_qfactor 0.75 -b_qfactor 1.1 -r 30 -g 60 -keyint_min 60 sc_threshold 0 -b:v .8M -bufsize .8M -maxrate 1.6M out1/Football_NVEnc_360_800k.mp4

• Achieved 2 full ladders on AWS Instance

T4 Start-up Latency

Preset	Latency
T4	1.38 (sec)

- Recorded screen with Camtasia
- Pasted in command string
- Computed time from paste until first frame appeared
- Ran three tests and averaged time

Command String - Metrics

Analysis

We encoded files with and without the AQ switches noted below and PSNR and VMAF scores were higher. For this reason, we encoded files for objective metric comparisons and subjective comparisons with AQ using the command string below.

	Tune (no AQ)	Viz (with AQ)
PSNR	34.29	34.76
VMAF	81.87	83.56

ffmpeg -re -y -hwaccel_output_format cuda -c:v h264_cuvid -i Football_1080p.mp4 -c:v hevc_nvenc -spatial_aq 1 -i_qfactor 0.75 -b_qfactor 1.1 -preset fast -cbr 1 -an -qmin 0 bf 2 -rc-lookahead 20 -g 120 -keyint_min 120 -sc_threshold 0 -b:v 3M -maxrate 3M -bufsize 6M Football_NVEnc_Tune_3MB_fast.mp4

T4 Command Strings

• Objective

ffmpeg -re -y -hwaccel_output_format cuda -c:v h264_cuvid -i Football_1080p.mp4 -c:v hevc_nvenc -spatial_aq 1 -i_qfactor 0.75 -b_qfactor 1.1 -preset fast -cbr 1 -an -qmin 0 -bf 2 -rc-lookahead 20 -g 120 -keyint_min 120 -sc_threshold 0 -b:v 3M -maxrate 3M -bufsize 6M Football NVEnc Tune 3MB fast.mp4

Subjective

ffmpeg -re -y -hwaccel_output_format cuda -c:v h264_cuvid -i Football_1080p.mp4
-c:v hevc_nvenc -spatial_aq 1 -i_qfactor 0.75 -b_qfactor 1.1 -preset fast -cbr 1
-an -qmin 0 -bf 2 -rc-lookahead 20 -g 120 -keyint_min 120 -sc_threshold 0
-b:v 3M -maxrate 3M -bufsize 6M Football NVEnc Viz 3MB fast.mp4

x265 Veryfast and Medium

- Test bed
- Script/capacity
- Command strings
 - Objective
 - Subjective

x265 Capacity/Cost

Model	vCPU	Memory (GiB)	Instance Storage (GiB)	Network Bandwidth (Gbps)	EBS Bandwidth (Mbps)
c5.metal	96	192	EBS-Only	25	19,000

- Tested on an AWS C5.metal
- Running 2nd gen Intel Xeon
 Scalable Processors (Cascade
 Lake) with a sustained all-core
 Turbo CPU frequency of 3.6GHz.

• On-demand pricing - \$4.08/hour

x265 Veryfast

 Did not produce a single ladder

Z xilinx_AWS.tlp - ubuntu@3.84.249.59:22 - Bitvise xterm - ubuntu@ip-172-31-64-1 – 🗆 🗙	zilinx_AWS.tlp - ubuntu@3.84.249.59:22 - Bitvise xterm - ubuntu@ip-172-31-64-108: ~ 🛛 —	
frame= 1658 fps= 44 q=25.4 q=34.3 q=33.5 q=36.0 q=35.0 size= 19968	op - 18:55:00 up 1:59, 2 users, load average: 20.99, 13.10, 5	.45 ^
kB time=00:00:27.88 bitrate=5866.7kbits/s dup=0 drop=3304 speed=0.74	asks: 787 total, 1 running, 786 sleeping, 0 stopped, 0 zoml	pie
frame= 1690 fps= 45 q=33.9 q=26.4 q=25.3 q=27.6 q=25.9 size= 20224	Cpu(s): 1.9 us, 0.2 sy, 19.4 ni, 78.5 id, 0.0 wa, 0.0 hi, 0	.0 si,
kB time=00:00:28.41 bitrate=5830.4kbits/s dup=0 drop=3368 speed=0.75	iB Mem : 19771619+total, 19323747+free, 3280624 used, 1198084 b	buff/cac
frame= 1709 fps= 45 q=33.6 q=34.8 q=33.8 q=36.1 q=34.2 size= 20480	iB Swap: 0 total, 0 free, 0 used. 19344460+a	avail Me
kB time=00:00:28.73 bitrate=5838.4kbits/s dup=0 drop=3404 speed=0.74		
frame= 1734 fps= 45 q=31.9 q=34.7 q=33.7 q=35.9 q=34.2 size= 20736	PID USER PR NI VIRT RES SHR S %CPU %MEM TI	ME+
kB time=00:00:29.14 bitrate=5829.2kbits/s dup=0 drop=3456 speed=0.74	7899 ubuntu 20 0 46.169g 2.163g 22976 S 2077 1.1 15:09	.90
frame= 1764 fps= 45 q=32.3 q=34.1 q=33.5 q=35.7 q=34.2 size= 20992	7897 ubuntu 20 0 41184 4440 3136 R 0.7 0.0 0:01	.06
kB time=00:00:29.65 bitrate=5799.2kbits/s dup=0 drop=3516 speed=0.75	1 root 20 0 185464 5956 3940 S 0.0 0.0 0:03	.66
frame= 1797 fps= 45 q=33.5 q=34.0 q=32.7 q=35.4 q=33.2 size= 21248kB	2 root 20 0 0 0 0 S 0.0 0.0 0:00	.01
frame= 1820 fps= 45 q=33.4 q=26.0 q=24.8 q=27.0 q=25.4 size= 21504kB	3 root 20 0 0 0 0 S 0.0 0.0 0:00	.00
frame= 1842 fps= 45 q=33.4 q=31.9 q=30.9 q=33.5 q=31.7 size= 21760kB	5 root 0 -20 0 0 0 0 S 0.0 0.0 0:00	.00
frame= 1874 fps= 45 q=31.8 q=34.1 q=32.3 q=35.5 q=33.9 size= 22016kB	6 root 20 0 0 0 0 0 0.0 0.0 0:00	.00
frame= 1905 fps= 45 q=33.0 q=32.9 q=32.4 q=34.7 q=33.1 size= 22528kB	8 root 20 0 0 0 0 S 0.0 0.0 0:00	.12
frame= 1934 fps= 46 q=31.3 q=33.1 q=32.0 q=34.6 q=33.0 size= 22784kB	9 root 20 0 0 0 0 S 0.0 0.0 0:00	.00
frame= 1951 fps= 45 q=34.5 q=31.9 q=30.4 q=32.9 q=31.4 size= 23040kB	10 root rt 0 0 0 0 0 0.0 0.0 0:00	.56
frame= 1978 fps= 45 q=33.9 q=34.3 q=32.7 q=35.5 q=33.8 size= 23552kB	11 root rt 0 0 0 0 S 0.0 0.0 0:00	.02
frame= 2008 fps= 46 q=33.8 q=24.8 q=23.7 q=25.9 q=24.1 size= 23808kB	12 root rt 0 0 0 0 S 0.0 0.0 0:00	.01
frame= 2044 fps= 46 q=32.8 q=33.6 q=32.2 q=34.5 q=32.9 size= 24064kB	13 root rt 0 0 0 0 S 0.0 0.0 0:00	.56
frame= 2065 fps= 46 q=32.8 q=33.8 q=31.9 q=34.9 q=33.1 size= 24320kB	14 root 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0 0.0 0:00	.00
frame= 2081 fps= 46 q=33.7 q=33.5 q=31.9 q=34.0 q=33.2 size= 24576kB	16 root 0 -20 0 0 0 0 S 0.0 0.0 0:00	.00
frame= 2108 fps= 46 q=24.7 q=34.6 q=32.9 q=35.5 q=33.8 size= 24832kB	17 root rt 0 0 0 0 0 0.0 0.0 0:00	.01
frame= 2132 fps= 46 q=32.9 q=31.7 q=30.6 q=32.8 q=31.0 size= 25088kB	18 root rt 0 0 0 0 0 0.0 0.0 0:00	.56
time=00:00:35.77 bitrate=5744.7kbits/s dup=0 drop=4248 speed=0.768x	19 root 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0 0.0 0:00	.00 🗸

ffmpeg -y -re -i Football 1080p.mp4 \

-c:v libx265 -preset veryfast -x265-params keyint=120:min-keyint=120:scenecut=0:bitrate=6000:vbvmaxrate=6000:vbv-buf-size=12000:open-gop=0 Football_1080p_6MB_x265_veryfast.mp4 \ -c:v libx265 -r 30 -preset veryfast -x265-params keyint=60:min-keyint=60:scenecut=0:bitrate=4000:vbvmaxrate=4000:vbv-buf-size=8000:open-gop=0 Football_1080p_4MB_x265_veryfast.mp4 \ -c:v libx265 -r 30 -s 1280x720 -preset veryfast -x265-params keyint=60:minkeyint=60:scenecut=0: bitrate=2500:vbv-maxrate=2500:vbv-buf-size=5000:open-gop=0 Football_720p_2_5MB_x265_veryfast.mp4 \ -c:v libx265 -r 30 -s 960x540 -preset veryfast -x265-params keyint=60:minkeyint=60:scenecut=0: bitrate=1200:vbv-maxrate=1200:vbv-buf-size=2400:open-gop=0 Football_540p_1_2MB_x265_veryfast.mp4 \ -c:v libx265 -r 30 -s 640x360 -preset veryfast -x265-params keyint=60:min-keyint=60:scenecut=0: bitrate=800:vbv-maxrate=800:vbv-buf-size=1600:open-gop=0 Football_360p_800kb_x265 veryfast.mp4

x265 Medium

 Did not produce a single ladder

Z xilinx_AWS.tlp - ubuntu@3.84.249.59:22 - Bitvise xterm - ubuntu@ip-172 📃	\times	📘 🗾 xilin	x_AWS.tl	p - ubuntu	@3.84.2	49.59:22 - Bi	tvise xterm -	ubuntu@ip-	172-31-6	4-108: ~		×
864kB time=00:00:48.78 bitrate=6189.7kbits/s dup=0 drop=5804	spee ^	top -	18:52	:50 up	1:57	, 2 use	rs, loa	d averag	e: 23.	<i>00</i> , 7.	43, 2.65	
frame= 2927 fps= 32 q=36.0 q=36.9 q=33.6 q=37.1 q=35.8 size=	37	Tasks:	785 1	total,	1 r	unning,	784 slee	ping,	0 stop	ped,	0 zombie	
120kB time=00:00:49.02 bitrate=6202.8kbits/s dup=0 drop=5836	spee	%Cpu(s	;): 1.	.4 us,	0.3	sy, 21.5	ni, 76.	9 id, 0	.0 wa,	0.0	hi, 0.0 si,	
frame= 2948 fps= 32 q=36.1 q=26.0 q=34.0 q=27.0 q=35.2 size=	37	KiB Me	em : 19	9771619	⊦tota	l, 19267	897+free	, 37414	28 use	d, 12	95788 buff/ca	C
376kB time=00:00:49.40 bitrate=6197.1kbits/s dup=0 drop=5880	spee	KiB Sw	ap:	0	tota	1,	0 free	,	0 use	d. 192	.98547+avail M	le
frame= 2969 fps= 32 q=36.3 q=27.2 q=34.0 q=37.2 q=26.6 size=	37											
632kB time=00:00:49.72 bitrate=6199.4kbits/s dup=0 drop=5920	spee	PID	USER	P	R NI	VIRT	RES	SHR S	%CPU	%MEM	TIME+	
frame= 2988 fps= 32 q=36.0 q=36.4 q=26.7 q=28.6 q=35.7 size=	37	6394	l ubunt	tu 20	3 0	46.625g	2.597g	22996 S	2230	1.4	36:59.99	
632kB time=00:00:50.06 bitrate=6157.1kbits/s dup=0 drop=5960	spee	43	s root	r	t 0	0	0	0 S	0.3	0.0	0:00.56	
frame= 3007 fps= 32 q=35.8 q=36.0 q=34.6 q=28.7 q=27.2 size=	37	557	′ root	20	0 0	0	0	0 S	0.3	0.0	0:00.02	
888kB time=00:00:50.38 bitrate=6159.6kbits/s dup=0 drop=5996	spee	7897	' ubunt	tu 20	3 0	41184	4440	3136 R	0.3	0.0	0:00.39	
frame= 3024 fps= 32 q=33.3 q=35.9 q=34.8 q=34.7 q=36.1 size=	38	1	l root	20	ð 0	185464	5956	3940 S	0.0	0.0	0:03.66	
144kB time=00:00:50.64 bitrate=6169.9kbits/s dup=0 drop=6032	spee	2	2 root	20	3 0	0	0	0 S	0.0	0.0	0:00.01	
frame= 3037 fps= 32 q=26.2 q=35.7 q=27.6 q=36.9 q=35.6 size=	38	3	root	20	ð 0	0	0	0 S	0.0	0.0	0:00.00	
400kB time=00:00:50.85 bitrate=6185.2kbits/s dup=0 drop=6056	spee	5	i root		3 -20	0	0	0 S	0.0	0.0	0:00.00	
frame= 3051 fps= 32 q=27.1 q=32.8 q=34.7 q=35.5 q=25.6 size=	38	6	5 root	20	ð 0	0	0	0 S	0.0	0.0	0:00.00	
656kB time=00:00:51.09 bitrate=6197.9kbits/s dup=0 drop=6084	spee	8	3 root	20	3 0	0	0	0 S	0.0	0.0	0:00.10	
frame= 3066 fps= 32 q=27.3 q=31.7 q=25.8 q=27.9 q=26.5 size=	38	9) root	20	0	0	0	0 S	0.0	0.0	0:00.00	
656kB time=00:00:51.37 bitrate=6164.4kbits/s dup=0 drop=6116	spee	10) root	r	t 0	0	0	0 S	0.0	0.0	0:00.56	
frame= 3081 fps= 32 q=27.3 q=28.3 q=34.4 q=29.1 q=34.9 size=	38	11	l root	r	t 0	0	0	0 S	0.0	0.0	0:00.02	
912kB time=00:00:51.60 bitrate=6177.0kbits/s dup=0 drop=6144	spee	12	2 root	r	t 0	0	0	0 S	0.0	0.0	0:00.01	
frame= 3095 fps= 32 q=33.4 q=28.4 q=34.4 q=36.5 q=35.3 size=	39	13	s root	r	t 0	0	0	0 S	0.0	0.0	0:00.56	
168kB time=00:00:51.86 bitrate=6187.0kbits/s dup=0 drop=6172	spee	14	l root	20	ð 0	0	0	0 S	0.0	0.0	0:00.00	
frame= 3109 fps= 32 q=35.6 q=28.2 q=34.4 q=36.7 q=33.7 size=	39	16	5 root		9 -20	0	0	0 S	0.0	0.0	0:00.00	
424kB time=00:00:52.09 bitrate=6199.4kbits/s dup=0 drop=6200	spee	17	′ root	r	t 0	0	0	0 S	0.0	0.0	0:00.01	
d-0.541×												1

ffmpeg -y -re -i Football 1080p.mp4 \

-c:v libx265 -preset veryfast -x265-params keyint=120:min-keyint=120:scenecut=0:bitrate=6000:vbvmaxrate=6000:vbv-buf-size=12000:open-gop=0 Football_1080p_6MB_x265_veryfast.mp4 \ -c:v libx265 -r 30 -preset veryfast -x265-params keyint=60:min-keyint=60:scenecut=0:bitrate=4000:vbvmaxrate=4000:vbv-buf-size=8000:open-gop=0 Football_1080p_4MB_x265_veryfast.mp4 \ -c:v libx265 -r 30 -s 1280x720 -preset veryfast -x265-params keyint=60:minkeyint=60:scenecut=0: bitrate=2500:vbv-maxrate=2500:vbv-buf-size=5000:open-gop=0 Football_720p_2_5MB_x265_veryfast.mp4 \ -c:v libx265 -r 30 -s 960x540 -preset veryfast -x265-params keyint=60:minkeyint=60:scenecut=0: bitrate=1200:vbv-maxrate=1200:vbv-buf-size=2400:open-gop=0 Football_540p_1_2MB_x265_veryfast.mp4 \ -c:v libx265 -r 30 -s 640x360 -preset veryfast -x265-params keyint=60:min-keyint=60:scenecut=0: bitrate=800:vbv-maxrate=800:vbv-buf-size=1600:open-gop=0 Football_360p_800kb_x265 veryfast.mp4

x265 Start-up Latency

Preset	Latency
Veryfast	.94 (sec)
Medium	.92 (sec)

- Recorded screen with Camtasia
- Pasted in command string
- Computed time from paste until first frame appeared
- Ran three tests and averaged time

x265 Command Strings

Objective

```
ffmpeg -y -re -i Football_1080p.mp4 -c:v libx265 -preset veryfast -tune psnr
-x265-params keyint=120:min-keyint=120:scenecut=0:bitrate=3000:vbv-
maxrate=3000:vbv-bufsize=6000:open-gop=0 Football 1080p 3MB x265 vf tune buf.mp4
```

• Subjective

```
ffmpeg -y -re -i Football_1080p.mp4 -c:v libx265 -preset veryfast
-x265-params keyint=120:min-keyint=120:scenecut=0:bitrate=3000:vbv-
maxrate=3000:vbv-bufsize=6000:open-gop=0 Football_1080p_3MB_x265_vf_viz_buf.mp4
```

About The Tests

- We ran encoding trials and objective metrics on four files, three of which were 2 minutes long (Football, Meridian, GTAV) and one that was 10 seconds long (Crowdrun)
 - Objective metrics were produced using the Moscow State University Video Quality Measurement Tool
- Subjective tests were performed on ten-second segments of the three longer files and the full 10-second clip
 - Subjective tests were designed and overseen by the MPEG Test Chair Vittorio Baroncini and performed by the independent laboratory GBtech under the supervision of the Test Administrator Giacomo Baroncini
 - The tests produced the Double Stimulus Impairment Scale Mean Opinion Score according to the ITU-R Recommendation BT 500 (https://www.itu.int/rec/R-REC-BT.500-14-201910l/en)

U30 Quality Results

- Four videos
 - Crowdrun
 - Harmonic Football
 - GTAV
 - Netflix Meridian
 - All 1080p60
- Tested at 2-5 Mbps

Crowdrun

- VMAF
- PSNR
- Subjective
- Results plot (U30 vs. T4, x265 Medium, x265 Veryfast)
- Quality consistency



	VMAF - Crowdrun	Xilinx U30	NVIDIA T4	x265 Medium	x265 VeryFast
1	Xilinx U30	X	-14.35	-5.66	-12.05
4	NVIDIA T4	16.76	Х	10.63	2.98
2	x265 Medium	5.99	-9.61	X	-6.96
3	x265 VeryFast	13.70	-2.90	7.48	X



F	PSNR - Crowdrun	Xilinx U30	NVIDIA T4	x265 Medium	x265 VeryFast
2) X	(ilinx U30	Х	-14.98	4.27	-4.50
4) N	IVIDIA T4	17.62	х	22.81	12.35
1) x	265 Medium	-4.09	-18.57	X	-8.41
3) x	265 VeryFast	4.71	-11.00	9.18	X





Ignore errors at the end of files (no impact on QoE

Results Plot Comparison



x265 veryfast shows much more • variability which can degrade QoE

Quality Variability - Crowdrun

	U30	T4	Medium	Very Fast
Low frame VMAF	42.52	30.51	34.52	28.87
Standard Deviation	4.82	5.52	5.63	6.46
Ranking	1	2	2	4

 Ranking is average rank for low-frame (higher is better) and standard deviation (lower is better)

Football

- VMAF
- PSNR
- Subjective
- Results plot (U30 vs. T4, x265 Veryfast vs. Medium)
- Quality consistency



	VMAF - Football	Xilinx U30	NVIDIA T4	x265 Medium	x265 VeryFast
2	Xilinx U30	Х	-10.09	3.83	-6.38
4	NVIDIA T4	11.23	Х	15.37	4.62
1	x265 Medium	-3.69	-13.32	х	-9.75
3	x265 VeryFast	6.81	-4.42	10.80	X



	PSNR - Football	Xilinx U30	NVIDIA T4	x265 Medium	x265 VeryFast
3	Xilinx U30	Х	-6.69	14.34	3.57
4	NVIDIA T4	7.17	X	21.38	10.67
1	x265 Medium	-12.54	-17.62	X	-9.24
2	x265 VeryFast	-3.45	-9.64	10.18	X



	Football - Sub	Xilinx-U30	NVIDIA T4	x265-Med	x265-VF
2	Xilinx-U30	Х	-0.03%	23.90%	-6.60%
4	NVIDIA T4	0.03%	Х	21.87%	13.49%
1	x265-Med	-19.29%	-17.95%	Х	-16.27%
3	x265-VF	7.07%	-11.89%	19.43%	Х

Results Plot Comparison



• U30 significant drop; not really visible (see following frames)

C:\Users\z840\Google Drive\Xilinx\Visual\football_1080p.mp4



00:00:10.20-620

Y Netflix VMAF VMAF081 1-st proc 76.250585 Netflix VMAF VMAF081 2-nd proc 80.857040



U

00:00:10.20+620

Y Netflix VMAF VMAF081 1-st proc 76.250585 Netflix VMAF VMAF081 2-nd proc 80.857040



F

00:00:10.20+620

Results Plot Comparison



 x265 veryfast shows lower low-frame scores and more quality variability which can degrade QoE

Quality Variability - Football

	U30	T 4	Medium	Very Fast
Low frame VMAF	64.02	72.49	65.31	58.17
Standard Deviation	6.03	4.51	5.46	5.50
Ranking	4	1	2	4



- VMAF
- PSNR
- Subjective
- Results plot (U30 vs. T4, x265 Veryfast vs. Medium)
- Quality consistency





	PSNR - GTAV	Xilinx U30	NVIDIA T4	x265 Medium	x265 VeryFast
3	Xilinx U30	X	-19.76	18.73	1.75
4	NVIDIA T4	24.62	Х	45.98	26.99
1	x265 Medium	-15.78	-31.50	X	-14.10
2	x265 VeryFast	-1.72	-21.25	16.41	Х



	GTAV - Sub	Xilinx-U30	NVIDIA T4	x265-Med	x265-VF
2	Xilinx-U30	Х	-5.46%	36.28%	-3.88%
4	NVIDIA T4	5.78%	Х	37.88%	3.89%
1	x265-Med	-26.62%	-27.47%	Х	-30.79%
3	x265-VF	4.03%	-3.75%	44.48%	Х



- T4 shows very short transient issue
 - Visible in frames
 - Both frames degraded (challenging source, low input file quality)





V

of 1: our 1e is

C:\Users\z840\Google Drive\Xilinx\Visual\Comps\New folder\GTAV_U30_viz_3MB_raw.y4m

x. 282 y: 437 Y: 31 U 131

Netflix VMAF VMAF061 1-st proc 51.012711 Netflix VMAF VMAF061 2-nd proc 48.701908

00;00;05;41 •

V

of 1: our 1e is

C:\Users\z840\Google Drive\Xilinx\Visual\Comps\New folder\GTAV_NVEnc_Viz_3MB_fast_raw.y4m

x: 305 y: 426 Y. 31 U: 130

Netflix VMAF VMAF081 1-st proc 51.012711 Netflix VMAF VMAF081 2-nd proc 48.701908



Results Plot Comparison



x265 veryfast show short transient • quality issues in multiple locations

Quality Variability - GTAV

	U30	T4	Medium	Very Fast
Low frame VMAF	50.53	48.10	54.96	54.31
Standard Deviation	10.27	10.33	9.89	10.02
Ranking	3	4	1	2

Meridian

- VMAF
- PSNR
- Subjective
- Results plot (U30 vs. T4, x265 Veryfast vs. Medium)
- Quality consistency



VMAF - Meridian	Xilinx U30	NVIDIA T4	x265 Medium	x265 VeryFast
Xilinx U30	х	-37.84	25.80	11.72
NVIDIA T4	60.88	Х	104.90	81.29
x265 Medium	-20.51	-51.20	х	-11.14
x265 VeryFast	-10.49	-44.84	12.53	X



	PSNR - Meridian	Xilinx U30	NVIDIA T4	x265 Medium	x265 VeryFast
3	Xilinx U30	Х	-19.84	62.54	46.89
4	NVIDIA T4	24.75	X	97.47	78.86
1	x265 Medium	-38.48	-49.36	Х	-9.03
2	x265 VeryFast	-31.92	-44.09	9.93	X



	Meridien - Sub	Xilinx-U30	NVIDIA T4	x265-Med	x265-VF
3	Xilinx-U30	Х	-13.33%	24.26%	24.55%
4	NVIDIA T4	15.38%	Х	38.57%	42.58%
2	x265-Med	-19.52%	-27.84%	Х	4.28%
1	x265-VF	-19.71%	-29.87%	-4.10%	Х



• T4 had issues with woman in rear-view mirror

Y Netflix VMAF VMAF061 1-st proc 81.538803 Netflix VMAF VMAF061 2-nd proc 67.022446 C:\Users\z840\Google Drive\Xilinx\Visual\Comps\Meridian_U30_viz_3MB_raw.y4m

Y Netflix VMAF VMAF061 1-st proc 81.538803 Netflix VMAF VMAF061 2-nd proc 67.022446

00:00:08.22+502

Y Netflix VMAF VMAF061 1-st proc 81.538803 Netflix VMAF VMAF061 2-nd proc 67.022448



T4



Results Plot Comparison





- x265 veryfast shows much more variability which can degrade QoE
 - No visible differences in low frames

Quality Variability - Meridian

	U30	T 4	Medium	Very Fast
Low frame VMAF	78.27	65.22	84.08	78.73
Standard Deviation	3.49	4.89	2.22	2.86
Ranking	3	4	1	2

Overall

- VMAF
- PSNR
- Subjective
- Scorecard

VMAF Overall Score

	VMAF - Overall	Xilinx U30	NVIDIA T4	x265 Medium	x265 VeryFast
2	Xilinx U30	Х	-23.01%	8.41%	-2.13%
4	NVIDIA T4	32.81%	Х	46.42%	32.33%
1	x265 Medium	-6.75%	-27.38%	Х	-9.55%
3	x265 VeryFast	2.97%	-20.23%	10.59%	X

PSNR Overall Score

	PSNR - Overall	Xilinx U30	NVIDIA T4	x265 Medium	x265 VeryFast
3	Xilinx U30	Х	-15.32%	24.97%	11.93%
4	NVIDIA T4	18.54%	Х	46.91%	32.22%
1	x265 Medium	-17.72%	-29.26%	Х	-10.19%
2	x265 VeryFast	-8.09%	-21.49%	11.43%	X

Subjective Overall Score

	Subjective - Overall	Xilinx-U30	NVIDIA T4	x265-Med	x265-VF
2	Xilinx-U30	X	-11.66%	10.77%	-6.42%
4	NVIDIA T4	13.56%	Х	19.65%	10.60%
1	x265-Medium	1.29%	-12.18%	Х	-9.51%
3	x265-Very Fast	14.35%	-6.05%	13.82%	Х

U30 Summary

	Xilinx U30	NVIDIA T4	x265 Medium	x265 Veryfast
Start-Up Latency	1	4	2	3
VMAF quality rank	2	4	1	3
PSNR quality rank	3	4	1	2
Subjective quality	2	4	1	3
Quality consistency	2	4	1	4
Overall	2	4	1	3

• Overall ranking is based upon average of all scores with no weighting